

Defensive strategy in a game challenger brands are doomed to lose



15 Dec 2014

Although I've spent many years in advertising, I don't attend ad industry events as frequently as before...

Earlier this year, NAB invited me to a regular event they run called <u>NAB bites</u>. The speaker was Associate Director and Market Scientist at the Ehrenberg Bass institute of the University of South Australia in Adelaide, <u>Professor Rachel Kennedy</u>. Here's what she had to say...

Attention is much more important than loyalty

The topic was budgeting for advertising and how to j	justify the budget. Kennedy based her findings on science, something
that advertising is not that well known for. The Direct	tor of the institute, Byron Sharp, Is the author of marketing book How
Brands Grow, which debunks using science many of	of marketing's holy cows, including the loyalty myth. Well worth reading.
He found that attention is much more important than	loyalty, as loyalty really is a bit of a myth.

How Brands Grow: A summary of Byron Sharp's book on what marketers don't know from Amie Weller

Other than the fact that I was in a room full of media planners writing things down on paper when I'm more accustomed to people taking notes on tablets and summarising the talk on Twitter, it served as a fantastic reminder of 'ad think'.

Advertising is a defensive strategy

Then Kennedy said something made me pause and think: "Advertising is a defensive strategy." Basically, this means you have to spend, you have no choice.

Anyone attending the most basic advertising course has learned the budgeting principle that share of voice, which is your share of advertising category spend, needs to equate roughly to share of market. Research shows that brands that spent less than their share of market percentage lost market share.

But although the converse is true, Kennedy also presented the sobering thought that this didn't quiet hold true for challenger brands, which actually had to spend a greater proportion in order to stay where they are. This equates to brands with a 5% market share having to spend 9% share of the category spend in order to just keep pace.

No advertising = no effect?

That was the crux of Kennedy's talk. But what does this actually mean?

I put forth it means we are all just treading water. The bulk of our advertising adds no value, it's primarily a defensive strategy. It's not wasted, it's just not moving you forward. Taking it another way, if everyone stopped advertising altogether, the result would be almost nothing. Nothing would happen. Now that's an intriguing thought.



© Robert Churchill - 123RF.com

From this base, the only way you could increase market share would be through a considerable increase in advertising spend. On average, for every 1% increase in ad spend, you can expect a 0.1% increase in sales.

For big brands, that's easy - they would already have the sales volume. For small brands and challenger brands, that is a tall order. They already have to spend more than the norm and would therefore have to spend considerably more to have any hope of making headway. Doing that consistently in the long term is impossible. Profitability may be irreparably eroded and, with greater resources, the dominant brands can destroy challenger profitability completely by merely increasing the category spend.

Over time though, the small brands would get smaller and the big brands would get larger.

Is going digital the solution?

I believe that the same thinking applies to digital. Brands use digital and social media the same way they do advertising. It's broadcast media. Brands look for audience page likes, Twitter followers and more, and blast their messages out to them. For the earlier adopters that will help, but when everyone arrives at the game, we are back at the same place.

Stalemate.

So what to do?

Challenger brands need to play where smarts beats budget

Disrupt the model, own the conversation about the category. A perfect strategy for challenger brands and very difficult for dominant brands to break, because it can't be done merely with budget. It takes smarts as well.

We have been doing it through careful analysis of the conversation around the category. Identify the people who care and those who have a prominent position or role in the conversation. Assist them on achieving their goals as they coincide with ours.

Brands are largely ignoring this area because it's hard and they don't think that the tools exist to analyse it and find it out.

I include a picture here of the map around the prominent South African brand Old Mutual. It's a pretty typical brand with

very little online community, with awareness trumping loyalty.



click to enlarge

But where there is community, the most central person on Twitter is @meangreenmut. He is the mayor of Old Mutual 'socialmedia-ville'. There is only one problem - he hates Old Mutual with a passion.

He has no resources, but he has position and he has influence. If left on his own, he could ensure that the brand is damaged to the extent that Old Mutual would need to spend a considerable amount to neutralise it. So even as a small, naive player he has serious

power. Small brands playing smart can have similar power.

Competitive strategy is always about creating an unfair advantage for oneself, making sure that the playing fields are not level. Use your advertising budget to hold the status guo. Find your growth through smarts.

Why are brands content to slug it out in a space where the most powerful budget will always win?

ABOUT WALTER PIKE

Walter has decades long experience in advertising, FR, digital marketing and social media both as a practitioner and as an academic. As a public speaker; Speaks on the future of advertising in the post - broadcast era. As an activist; works in an intersection of ferminsm& racism He has devised an intervention in unpacking whiteness for white people As an educator; upskilling programs in marketing comms, advertising & social in South, West and East Africa. Social crisis management consultant & educator. Ideaorgy founder Did Publicis dumping the Cannes Lions come as a surprise to you? - 26 Jun 2017

- Outsurance's Father's Day debacle is a wake-up call for business to understand social issues 21 Jun 2017
- About the Zika virus, the Ólympics and the decreasing importance of audience 22 Jul 2016
- Only people generating bad publicity say there is no such thing 28 Jun 2016
- The real "big idea" 28 Jun 2016

View my profile and articles...

For more, visit: https://www.bizcommunity.com